## TABLE A-2

## Case study: Google Glass

(Innovation failure summary)

Variable	Description
Innovation	Head-mounted wearable mobile device, launched 15 April 2013
Radical or incremental	Radical
Category	Product
Sector	Mobile electronics and services
Failure timing	Launch stage, failure in January 2015
Failure root cause	No market demand • Produced offered relatively little significant new functionality for users • Developers did not adequately (or at all) consider privacy concerns, security concerns, or social awkwardness
Failure root cause timing	Product development
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Low consumer penetration</li> <li>Widespread derision for product with (short-lived) affect on Google's reputation as innovator</li> </ul>
Business insight into the innovation process	<ul> <li>Insufficient customer perspective in the ideation state of innovation</li> <li>Earlier customer perspective may have led to earlier pivot in use case</li> </ul>
Pivot	Yes, in commercial, health, and science applications
Pivot enabler	• Product functionality, specifically the ability to retrieve data on and analyze an object or person while looking at the object or person

## Source(s):

National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics and SRI International, special research (2020) of 2010–20 open-access articles, including MIT Technology Review, New York Times, Fast Company, U.S. General Accountability Office, and Defense News.