The National Academy of Public Administration (NAPA) Report:

IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATION ON MANAGEMENT FEE



Jeff Lupis, Division of Acquisition and Cooperative Support in the Office of Budget, Finance, and Award Management National Science Board Meeting

November 8-9, 2016



- NAPA Report Recommendation 4.3 (pg. 47):
 - Objective: To eliminate the additional management burdens and potential for funding inappropriate expenses posed by management fee.
 - Recommendation: NSF should eliminate the practice of including management fee in cooperative agreements in future projects.
- NAPA recommended alternatives to providing management fee.
 - NSF should consider addressing expenses as indirect costs or through use of award contingency.
 - If necessary, NSF could request legislative authority to identify as allowable costs any significant expenses that would not qualify under this approach.

NSF Actions to Address NAPA Recommendation

- NSF formed a task group with expertise to address viability and efficacy of implementing the NAPA Recommendation.
 - Group organized in March 2016. Included expertise from NSF Program Offices, Business Functions, and Office of the General Counsel.
 - Group considered a range of options to address expenses in addition to those recommended by NAPA.
- NSF updated the NSB on progress at May 8-9 NSB Meeting.
 - Analysis to date indicated that expenses could not be addressed as indirect costs or contingency.
 - Allowing fee helps ensure competition among qualified organizations.
 - NSF would continue to use management fee and complete the analysis of the policy's impacts.



Final Findings of Management Fee Group

<u>NAPA Finding</u>: Address management fee expenses through indirect costs or contingency. <u>NSF Response</u>: Analysis confirms that expenses addressed through management fee cannot be effectively met through indirect costs or contingency.

Other Findings:

- Eliminating management fee would disadvantage large facility awardees.
- Eliminating management fee would have a detrimental effect on incentivizing highly qualified organizations from competing for large facility awards.
- NSF's current management fee policy is administratively burdensome on both NSF and awardees.
- Even current management fee guidelines do not completely eliminate the risk of funding questionable expenses.
- NSF should more broadly consider other approaches to providing fee for these limited number of awards (9).



Examples of Appropriate Uses of Management Fee

- Working Capital
- Facilities Capital
- Other ordinary and necessary expenses, such as:
 - ✓ Contract terminations and loses
 - ✓ Certain appropriate educational and public outreach activities
 - ✓ University visitor support programs and student exchange programs
 - ✓ Research activities valuable to the scientific pursuits of the organizations but not directly required to support funded programs
 - ✓ Financial incentives to obtain and retain high caliber staff



Options Considered

- Adopt fee-types consistent with those typically provided in government contracting.
- 2. Adopt fee-types consistent with those typically provided in government contracting, with additional guidelines to awardees including examples of inappropriate uses of fee. NSF retains authority to require reporting on fee expenditures.
- 3. Continue use of the current NSF management fee policy.
- 4. Continue use of the current management fee policy as a base fee, plus allow flexibility to add additional fee-types with the requirement for awardees to affirm that they will not use fee for prohibited purposes.



Option Selected

- 1. Adopt fee-types consistent with those typically provided in government contracting.
- Adopt fee-types consistent with those typically provided in government contracting, with additional guidelines to awardees including examples of inappropriate uses of fee. NSF retains authority to require reporting on fee expenditures.
- 3. Continue use of the current NSF management fee policy.
- 4. Continue use of the current management fee policy as a base fee, plus allow flexibility to add additional fee-types with the requirement for awardees to affirm that they will not use fee for prohibited purposes.