WEBVTT

1

00:00:06.050 --> 00:00:25.979

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, hello, everyone! Welcome to Today's Webinar for the sort program, and what which stands for community infrastructure for research in computer and information, science and engineering. This is the solicitation Number is twenty, three deaths, five hundred and eighty nine,

2

00:00:26.060 --> 00:00:44.669

Deepankar Medhi: and this is formerly used to be known as Ccli. We decided to change the name to avoid confusion, both externally as well as internally, with another program called Cii, so I might make sure I've got the right,

3

00:00:44.680 --> 00:00:48.739 Deepankar Medhi: and so that's sort of what what it is. And

4

00:00:48.750 --> 00:01:18.279

Deepankar Medhi: we're going to go through a few things, and then we'll uh about the program, and there's time for Q. A. And then so i'm did mediate. I'm: a program director in the Computer and Network Systems division uh in the Size Director and I hand out a number of resource infrastructure programs today with me Nimie Mcclure, also from Cns Uh. The other. My colleagues who are uh

5

00:01:18.290 --> 00:01:20.810 Deepankar Medhi: part of this program, Uh

6

00:01:21.250 --> 00:01:39.210

Deepankar Medhi: and Tanya Kurlski and Damian Gitchell and Jason Hallstrom, as you can see, based on the name of the divisions listed there. This program is actually health is used by Cns, lis and C.

7

00:01:43.810 --> 00:01:45.410 Okay, next one, please.

8

00:01:47.630 --> 00:01:53.459 Deepankar Medhi: Okay, So let's start with the high-level idea. It's the of the

00:01:53.470 --> 00:02:14.410

Deepankar Medhi: what the program is that we really want to create world class, community resource, infrastructure, and the community is emphasized, and it's very, very important. It's not for an individual or an institution to use it only for their own researchers, and but it is for the community for the community to use it

10

00:02:14.420 --> 00:02:27.030

Deepankar Medhi: the exact size of the community. We do not dictate it. It is purposeful in that way, because it varies from a particular topical area to another one depending on what we are actually trying to do.

11

00:02:27.040 --> 00:02:37.300

Deepankar Medhi: And another very important point about is that this infrastructure needs to be focused on resource agendas that falls in the size director.

12

00:02:37.480 --> 00:02:39.520 Deepankar Medhi: That's very very important to keep it.

13

00:02:39.530 --> 00:02:57.529

Deepankar Medhi: E-pay research. A gentle, false, and um another director, let's say, i'll pick something like a geo-directorate. Then you are better off submitting to another program that Ansf has called major Research Instrumentation Mri and another program. I'll mention it a little bit. One

14

00:02:57.540 --> 00:03:12.490

Deepankar Medhi: Um. So uh, So keep that in mind. So the size focus. Now, it is possible that you may have something that size focused, but has some secondary interest to other director. That is okay. As long as size is the primary focus for them, part of it.

15

00:03:12.500 --> 00:03:32.480

Deepankar Medhi: Our definition of infrastructure is very broad. We can have equipment. It would be a test bed. It could be software development. It could be a data repository. It could be creation of, you know. Curated data sets. Ah, benchmark get us? And all of these things are possible, so we will use it very, very broadly.

00:03:33.170 --> 00:03:44.480

Deepankar Medhi: It also includes developing user. Service and engagement to attract nurture and robust resource community. That's also very important. You want to budget for that in your proposal.

17 00:03:44.510 --> 00:03:45.910 Deepankar Medhi: Ah!

18

00:03:46.220 --> 00:03:53.190 Deepankar Medhi: The key thing to keep in mind is that it enables advances that's not possible with existing infrastructure.

19

00:03:53.280 --> 00:03:58.829 Deepankar Medhi: So having said that I want to clarify that

20

00:03:58.840 --> 00:04:19.929

Deepankar Medhi: one in particular office of advanced server infrastructure funds and number of high performance computing center, so that facility is there. If your research fits into that facility, you should submit and use that facility, you know. And so we do not support Sbc. Or cluster oriented one hundred and one

21

00:04:19.940 --> 00:04:24.890 Deepankar Medhi: research infrastructure. Within this program

22

00:04:25.360 --> 00:04:40.790

Deepankar Medhi: we have four classes of Project and I'll talk more about it broadly. They are planning the exploratory development. It's called medium and the Grant Exploratory Development, or a def track is the new track we introduce with these solicitation.

23 00:04:40.800 --> 00:04:41.940 Deepankar Medhi: Next place,

24

00:04:43.890 --> 00:04:53.130

Deepankar Medhi: what are the expectations. So you, The expectation is that you are providing a compelling new research opportunity for a community of science researchers

00:04:53.140 --> 00:05:12.340

Deepankar Medhi: Erez agmoni that takes extends beyond the organization. That's I think that's something you do before it enables a unique and compelling resource of opportunities, improves a vision for future long term, community sustainability and operation all it to happen after the commissioning phase is done, one hundred and fifty.

26

00:05:12.350 --> 00:05:33.779

Deepankar Medhi: So when we find this thing, it's typically known as the commissioning phase. So how this will be done? It's important to define metrics instead of us dictating what the metrics are. We would like you to define what the metrics what the goals are, and that will be also taken into account by the panel when they review it as to in terms of evaluating the infrastructure.

27

00:05:33.830 --> 00:05:52.819

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, it's important to provide robust user services and support to the community. So a definite user interface, or how you, user whether you bring some user because yours is a facility that cannot be remotely used. But you need users research in just order to come into your facility.

28

00:05:52.830 --> 00:06:02.299

Deepankar Medhi: If that's the case, you want to, you know, Budget, for that properly in your budget, so that you can actually fund them to comment that in your institution

29

00:06:06.100 --> 00:06:24.270

Deepankar Medhi: um next one. So what has changed, of course, the name we talked about Cc. Right to serve. We have increased the limit to two for Medium and Graham. It used to be one before the we have provide clarity about related to enhancement. Ah track!

30

00:06:24.280 --> 00:06:53.600

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, then Ah, grand category! Ah, Ah includes option to request now Internet measurement, resource, infrastructure. And this is, we specifically mentioned, because we had a separate recitation called Number Twenty two, that's five hundred and nine, which basically talked about that there will be an infrastructure related to internal measurement. Research related will come up, and instead of creating a completely separate solicitation, we try to wear

31 00:06:53.610 --> 00:07:09.090 Deepankar Medhi: within this program, We also added option to do cooperative agreement. We do not expect that to be used for the medium category, but we may use it more for depending on the type of a product for the for the grand category

32

00:07:09.100 --> 00:07:24.289

Deepankar Medhi: and other important things that we have limited the number of letters to five letters of collaboration. And this is you might wonder why we are doing it, and that, you know, because you want to show that we have lots of users.

33

00:07:24.300 --> 00:07:30.210

Deepankar Medhi: You may say that you have lots of users, but to not name them, but provide just five letters.

34

00:07:30.220 --> 00:07:52.670

Deepankar Medhi: This is mainly for us to be able to manage the review process, because as soon as you provide letters of collaboration, and if you do fifty letters of collaboration which you will have seen before, and we have hard time finding panel. So this way we are doing a bit of a level playing field for everybody.

35

00:07:52.810 --> 00:07:55.320 Deepankar Medhi: Manage it. The panel part of it

36

00:07:55.330 --> 00:08:01.109 Deepankar Medhi: exploratory. Develop the that track has been added. I'll come to that in a little bit

37

00:08:01.460 --> 00:08:02.700 Deepankar Medhi: next place.

38

00:08:06.360 --> 00:08:25.760

Deepankar Medhi: So the planning category planning category. We have actually two subcategories. Really one is planning, c. This is really for related to a sort-related project where there's a new, that you are not quite ready to go there. And so.

39 00:08:25.790 --> 00:08:44.329

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, but planning M is the one we introduced last time. It is planning activities towards the mid scale research infrastructure, one and two, which i'll talk about in a little bit, so that you get familiar with this program, and that's something very important for you to think.

40

00:08:44.830 --> 00:08:58.440

Deepankar Medhi: And so I want to clarify that planning grounds are not required to submit directly to mid scale one or two, but it may help to enable to plan do some planning work, so that we are much better prepared to submit it.

41

00:08:58.480 --> 00:09:12.800

Deepankar Medhi: You're planning that because of the categories the planning stays for up to a year and a half, and for one hundred thousand dollars, and planning M is for up to two years for two hundred and fifty thousand.

42

00:09:12.840 --> 00:09:14.930 Deepankar Medhi: Let's go to the next slide.

43

00:09:16.320 --> 00:09:28.009

Deepankar Medhi: So let me talk about the mid-scale research infrastructure program, and because of me wonder sort of community to be aware of this one as well. And this has two

44

00:09:28.050 --> 00:09:56.550

Deepankar Medhi: classes rather, and they have two separate solutions: Solicitation We scale one and me scale to this skill: one, the last most recent one is twenty-two to six hundred and thirty-seven, and uh, or that the final selections are going on right now will be announced very soon. Uh this program is done every two years, and it's a foundation white program. It's not a directory problem. So you go to the foundation competition. There is no limit from an institution to submit number of

45

00:09:56.560 --> 00:10:16.209

Deepankar Medhi: Erez Agmoni proposal, which is an issue, for example, with Mri, the major resource infrastructure. So we welcome our community to submit to this program. Secondly, if you have done. Let's say funded. Get funded through a start program, for as a new or a grant, you can always come back one hundred and fifty

46 00:10:16.320 --> 00:10:19.589

Deepankar Medhi: to for a bigger project. We can plan it. Come back as a mid-skill tool

47

00:10:19.600 --> 00:10:44.689

Deepankar Medhi: uh Medsk. One is skilled. One on it's come to uh. There are two tracks within the midsk. One design and implementation design track is really to facilitate progress towards readiness from its scale. Uh thing that one allows you to go all the way down to four hundred thousand. You can actually reverse that, and could be up to twenty million dollars. You might wonder. Why is there a design project of running with you,

48

00:10:44.700 --> 00:10:52.159

Deepankar Medhi: Dollar. Think about that. There might be somebody coming back like building a telescope or whatever

49

00:10:52.470 --> 00:11:17.849

Deepankar Medhi: they could request. A fairly large amount of budgets so that could come back as a meskill. Two project for implementation down the road. So implementation Project lower bound is four million dollars, and up to twenty million dollars. The four million dollars is interesting because the Mri are major research information instrumentation. That program

50

00:11:17.870 --> 00:11:40.320

Deepankar Medhi: Ah can set the the cap is at four million is the maximum there. So this way what it is, and naming is funny, because we couldn't figure out a very good naming four days. So that's why major research instrumentation is the smaller one. Mid scale is actually bigger than that. And then we have what is called major research facility that goes beyond.

51 00:11:40.330 --> 00:11:43.019 Deepankar Medhi: Let's go to Mysql, too. Uh, please.

52

00:11:43.030 --> 00:12:07.589

Deepankar Medhi: So this is Ah! Most recently it's a twenty, three, that's five, seventy. That's between twenty to one hundred million dollars. It only does an implementation. As I just mentioned it. We are hoping that some of you will do a new or a medium, and feel golden out as you build bigger and bigger community, and ah, import and subject to be able to, you know, compete on this sort of a closet down.

53 00:12:07.600 --> 00:12:20.269 Deepankar Medhi: And so this one right now. We had a proposal. Submission was fairly recently last month, and sort of that sort of competition again every two years you want to keep that also?

54 00:12:20.280 --> 00:12:21.650 Deepankar Medhi: Next slide, please.

55

00:12:22.750 --> 00:12:36.810

Deepankar Medhi: I saw the the death track, the new death trick we created. This came out a number of reasons for it. One of is that we landed from the community also. Many of them think that they are not quite ready to do.

56

00:12:36.840 --> 00:12:53.630

Deepankar Medhi: They can do some planning activities, but they are not to do a medium or a grand category in terms of research infrastructure. But they need to do some more kind of a toying with idea.

57 00:12:53.640 --> 00:12:57.150 Deepankar Medhi: So we created this daft track, which is

58

00:12:57.160 --> 00:13:26.990

Deepankar Medhi: um. You can request two hundred and fifty thousand dollars to seven fifty thousand, and but we purposefully kept it a two-year duration for it, because we want it to be very focused. You do that So that it can come back as a you know, next category of project, because you did the thing there. Ah, and because of that we also highly encourage you to consider hiring professional staff. If You're doing a big development for the part of it, you know, designing part of it. Whatever. Think about this as a Mini design.

59

00:13:27.000 --> 00:13:31.480 Deepankar Medhi: You know what we talked about earlier for um with with scale one

60 00:13:32.800 --> 00:13:33.389 Deepankar Medhi: what you

61 00:13:33.400 --> 00:13:42.089 Deepankar Medhi: also you might involve some more community engagement. You can look at it, look into the priorities and the things I mentioned it here.

62 00:13:42.100 --> 00:13:43.430 Deepankar Medhi: Next slide, please.

63

00:13:45.010 --> 00:13:51.150

Deepankar Medhi: The medium Community infrastructure has a two categories. This is between, you know,

64

00:13:51.160 --> 00:14:13.139

Deepankar Medhi: erez agmoni at the next level of budget, seven hundred and fifty thousand up to two million dollars, and you can request up to three year you would be developing a new for precise resource, infrastructure, and your services, and to engage the associative resource community attendances. Maybe you got something funded before, and this is where I wanted to kind of clarify that one hundred and fifty

65

00:14:13.150 --> 00:14:41.200

Deepankar Medhi: uh something we clarified in Cci is that enhance could be. For example, you got a grand category project before, or even a new, that you want to come back to do some enhancement to it. You can submit under that. This is within the sort, or the ccri or the names category. But we also now allow that if, as a size community, you got funded under by Mri program, you can actually submit it here,

66

00:14:41.210 --> 00:14:54.109

Deepankar Medhi: or if you have got funded under the campus Cyber infrastructure program, you can also also request an enhancement under this category. But the key to the thing to remember is that

67

00:14:54.520 --> 00:15:16.109

Deepankar Medhi: that it has to be community oriented, that's very important, because in Midscale, Mri and major research instrumentation track, one is not actually for community. But you may do a track, one project for your institution, but you want to enhance it to the community. Now you can do that

68

00:15:16.120 --> 00:15:17.530 Deepankar Medhi: next slide, please.

00:15:19.720 --> 00:15:38.350

Deepankar Medhi: So grand is a bigger thing, you know, between two and five million dollars, just because we said five million dollars doesn't mean you need to propose something for five million. You could do a two and a half million or three million dollars. We allow it to be up to five million. Obviously it has to be much older. You need to do more community engagement.

70

00:15:38.360 --> 00:16:07.169

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, you know development of what might be more. We do. You know all of those things are possible here, and we want this to very much to be bold. And again, as I mentioned earlier about me skill, we're hoping that if you do something like that. You feel ready getting the next three four years. Then you can go to a me-skilled one or me scale too, because you have done it. You have more community engagement that allows you to see a path forward instead of always being stuck at a partner

71

00:16:08.190 --> 00:16:11.440 Deepankar Medhi: uh so yup! And then the next slide, please.

72

00:16:12.070 --> 00:16:36.439

Deepankar Medhi: So in terms of the number of the awards, this is the par area. What What we have this is I Won't. Read it out. The numbers here you can see it. The main thing to remember is that we have twenty four million dollars for this program every year, and So we are size directories already investing on this. And so we want to, you know. Spread it out

73

00:16:36.450 --> 00:16:40.259 Deepankar Medhi: well among those four different categories, so that you know

74

00:16:40.270 --> 00:16:52.140

Deepankar Medhi: different Pis are at different stages to be able to go to the next phase of what you are doing, as well as poly from programmatic interest within the entire directorate under three divisions. We have

75 00:16:52.170 --> 00:16:53.680 Deepankar Medhi: next slide, please.

76 00:16:54.460 --> 00:17:10.420 Deepankar Medhi: So deadline the the most important one for you to a note right away is that the September, the eighth deadline that's coming up in about six, seven weeks, and then it will be again. You're from there on September.

77

00:17:10.430 --> 00:17:39.080

Deepankar Medhi: Start in. Ah, you can. As I said, you can submit your proposal to the medium or gram proposal per year. Ah, you can also you submit one planning proposal per year. Um. I want to clarify one more thing about the eligibility that we have come before. If your resource faculty, you don't have to be full time to be eligible to be a pi or opi, and that one we have actually relaxed for our previous solicitation.

78 00:17:39.290 --> 00:17:40.550 Deepankar Medhi: Next slide

79

00:17:41.700 --> 00:17:47.810

Deepankar Medhi: I review criteria. This is what I'm going to happen here after my colleague, Nicholas Gosmith. He's going to talk to it. Thank you.

80

00:17:47.990 --> 00:17:52.129 Nicholas Goldsmith: Yes, so i'll go over the review criteria for the cert program.

81

00:17:52.560 --> 00:17:53.880 Nicholas Goldsmith: Um.

82

00:17:53.970 --> 00:18:07.270

Nicholas Goldsmith: So the cert program has both the nsf-wide review criteria, as well as some solicitation-specific review criteria for each project class. So all of the

83

00:18:07.280 --> 00:18:16.029 Nicholas Goldsmith: program all the awards will be held to the two nsf-wide review criteria of intellectual merit and broader impacts

84

00:18:16.040 --> 00:18:23.940

Nicholas Goldsmith: So intellectual merit is the criterion encompassing the potential of the proposal to advance knowledge.

85 00:18:24.080 --> 00:18:25.220 Nicholas Goldsmith: So

86

00:18:25.430 --> 00:18:45.919

Nicholas Goldsmith: when reviewers think about the intellectual merit, they'll be thinking about the potential of a proposed activity to advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields, the extent to which the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts.

87

00:18:45.930 --> 00:18:58.720

Nicholas Goldsmith: Ah! Whether or not The plan to carry out the proposed activities is well reasoned, well organized, and based on sound rationale; and if the plan incorporates mechanisms to assess success,

88

00:18:58.730 --> 00:19:12.029

Nicholas Goldsmith: they'll also consider the qualifications of the Pi team and the organization to conduct the proposed activities and insurance. There are adequate resources to the Pi to carry out the proposed activities.

89

00:19:13.010 --> 00:19:32.939

Nicholas Goldsmith: The second of the nsf-wide review criteria is broader impacts. So the broader impacts are looking at the potential to benefit society or contribute to or achieve a specific desire societal outcome. So this may be that the research area has compelling

90

00:19:32.950 --> 00:19:39.159 Nicholas Goldsmith: societal implications. This could be things like outreach and education plans

91

00:19:39.290 --> 00:19:50.220

Nicholas Goldsmith: in the broader impacts criteria, and the questions are fairly similar to intellectual merit, but focusing on that potential to benefit society and specific societal outcomes.

92

00:19:51.980 --> 00:20:00.630

Nicholas Goldsmith: In addition to the two nsf-wide review criteria. There's also Solicitationspecific review criteria for each of the project classes.

00:20:00.640 --> 00:20:16.890

Nicholas Goldsmith: So for the planning category. Reviewers will also look at the proposal to consider the soundness of the planning process and the activities that are proposed. They'll also consider whether or not the

94

00:20:16.900 --> 00:20:23.239

Nicholas Goldsmith: know research that the planning activity is the infrastructure that the planning activity is working for.

95

00:20:23.440 --> 00:20:27.990 Nicholas Goldsmith: We'll provide compelling new sized research and education opportunities

96

00:20:28.090 --> 00:20:28.790 she's.

97 00:20:28.800 --> 00:20:31.979 Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll look at the fit of the of the

98

00:20:32.130 --> 00:20:35.650 Nicholas Goldsmith: proposed infrastructure to the size core disciplines,

99

00:20:36.520 --> 00:20:45.549

Nicholas Goldsmith: and also look for evidence of community need, and that there will be a community involved process in the planning activities

100

00:20:45.570 --> 00:21:01.240 Nicholas Goldsmith: we're looking at specifically planning M. The infrastructure will also need to be of national importance. So is that addressed. Is this a mid scale research and construction activity that is being planned for

101

00:21:01.250 --> 00:21:10.469

Nicholas Goldsmith: the project management plan will be looked at as well as the metrics, the metrics for success and the planning activities.

00:21:11.910 --> 00:21:25.689

Nicholas Goldsmith: The next project class with solicitation-specific review criteria is the exploratory development class. So here the reviewers. Will look for the soundness of the design, prototyping and validation. Approaches.

103

00:21:25.700 --> 00:21:36.720

Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll also look at the necessity of using this exploratory design process versus just using existing methodologies, technologies, or designs

104 00:21:37.420 --> 00:21:39.530 Nicholas Goldsmith: that Don't need validated.

105

00:21:41.240 --> 00:21:53.759

Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll consider what engagement of the community is occurring. They'll also look at if their proposed infrastructure would lead to compelling new research and education opportunities

106

00:21:53.830 --> 00:22:12.120

Nicholas Goldsmith: that the research that the exploratory development. Activity is relevant to will enable size core disciplines to also look for an evidence of community need. The soundness of the project management plan the timeline, and of course, those metrics for success,

107

00:22:14.540 --> 00:22:31.790

Nicholas Goldsmith: for the solicitation-specific review, criteria for the medium category. These are broken up into new and e ands review criteria So for the new Category reviewers we'll be looking at if the infrastructure is essential for a research agenda to move forward.

108

00:22:31.830 --> 00:22:39.459

Nicholas Goldsmith: There's a soundness of the plan for the user services and the tools available to users

109

00:22:39.560 --> 00:22:47.890

Nicholas Goldsmith: course that this research infrastructure and the research and education it will enable face in the size core disciplines.

110 00:22:48.570 --> 00:22:56.630 Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll also look for the newness of the infrastructure, and what differentiates it from existing research infrastructure

111

00:22:57.310 --> 00:23:06.080

Nicholas Goldsmith: Reviewers will want to see that the project team is prepared to develop, operate, and provide services and support for the infrastructure that were rebuilt.

112

00:23:06.900 --> 00:23:26.620

Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll want to know that the project management is sound, that there is plans for community involvement both in development and in use, and that there's high quality, community outreach plans, and of course they'll be considering whether or not they are adequate net metrics to document the success of the infrastructure.

113

00:23:28.560 --> 00:23:38.670

Nicholas Goldsmith: Then for the Ens category, they'll be looking at the benefit and need of the proposed enhancements also looking that

114

00:23:39.360 --> 00:23:49.639

Nicholas Goldsmith: compelling new research and education opportunities can come from this enhancement to infrastructure that the enhancement will fit in the size for disciplines

115

00:23:50.460 --> 00:23:59.270

Nicholas Goldsmith: that the project team is prepared to develop, operate, and provide those services and support necessary for this community infrastructure.

116

00:24:00.100 --> 00:24:11.870

Nicholas Goldsmith: Of course, that the project management is sound also, since this is enhancing and sustaining an existing infrastructure over here. We'll look for the track better of the infrastructure.

117

00:24:12.560 --> 00:24:16.230 Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll also want to see long-term sustainability plants

118

00:24:16.920 --> 00:24:28.009

Nicholas Goldsmith: want to make sure the Community Advisory Board plans are sound, and of course we want to make sure that there's metrics to assess the success of the infrastructure are.

00:24:29.350 --> 00:24:48.970

Nicholas Goldsmith: And then, finally, the grand category has its own solicitation-specific review criteria. So here reviewers will be looking for a proposal that is innovative and has a bold vision for it, enhancing size research frontiers Again, it should fit within the size for disciplines. One hundred and fifty.

120

00:24:48.980 --> 00:24:52.990 Nicholas Goldsmith: They'll be looking at the robustness of the infrastructure plan

121

00:24:53.000 --> 00:25:12.950

Nicholas Goldsmith: mit ctl, and as well as the accounting uh services, tools, and resources that will be available to that research community. The ones that the project team is prepared for the development for the operation and providing those services and support. They'll also again be looking at the quality of those community outreach plans one hundred and fifty.

122

00:25:13.260 --> 00:25:27.250

Nicholas Goldsmith: We'll also be wanting to make sure that the infrastructure is differentiated from existing infrastructure. So what can this infrastructure do? That researchers Don't have access to with existing resources.

123

00:25:28.040 --> 00:25:31.490

Nicholas Goldsmith: Again, want to make sure the project management is sound.

124

00:25:32.250 --> 00:25:35.090 Nicholas Goldsmith: The project includes enhancements

125

00:25:35.100 --> 00:25:43.310

Nicholas Goldsmith: of existing research capabilities or research infrastructure. Then that track record of that existing infrastructure comes into play.

126

00:25:43.560 --> 00:26:01.139

Nicholas Goldsmith: Um, of course, with the grain category also interested in their sustainability plans want to make sure that the plan for a Community Advisory Board is sound, and again, with all of these, make sure that there are added but Mac metrics to assess the success of the proposed infrastructure.

00:26:11.730 --> 00:26:29.630

Nicholas Goldsmith: So there are a number of ah required supplementary documents for your circuit proposals. So project roles and responsibilities are required. A list of individuals that are providing those letters of collaboration is required.

128

00:26:29.640 --> 00:26:37.039

Nicholas Goldsmith: A document with the community outreach plans required. Of course you're required to do a data management plan.

129

00:26:37.500 --> 00:26:55.149

Nicholas Goldsmith: We'll talk about those letters of collaboration in a couple of slides. Um and additionally subliminary documents, including what budget items are for operational expenses, and what budget items are for community outreach must be included for new media and new brand.

130

00:26:56.810 --> 00:27:15.030

Nicholas Goldsmith: So on those letters of collaboration for the letters of collaboration you need to explicitly state the intent to collaborate and the nature of that collaboration. It needs to be on the collaborating organization's letterhead, and must be signed by the appropriate representative of that organization.

131

00:27:17.070 --> 00:27:24.980 Nicholas Goldsmith: You don't need to include these if it's a linked proposal. So if multiple institutions are applying together,

132

00:27:25.520 --> 00:27:31.730

Nicholas Goldsmith: you don't need the letters of collaboration. This is when they're not also submitting part of this proposal.

133

00:27:32.050 --> 00:27:37.310

Nicholas Goldsmith: These letters of collaboration should be no more than one page,

134

00:27:38.180 --> 00:27:50.959

Nicholas Goldsmith: and you are limited to five of these since Steve discussed earlier. That helps with managing panels and such. You're limited to five letters of collaboration,

00:27:53.010 --> 00:28:07.720

Nicholas Goldsmith: hey? At the last slide before we get to your questions. It's on the Community Advisory Board. So for medium and grand new proposals, you need to have a Community advisory board. Once you start the project.

136

00:28:07.730 --> 00:28:14.660

Nicholas Goldsmith: So this Community Advisory Board helps to guide the development and the future directions of this infrastructure.

137

00:28:14.880 --> 00:28:43.960

Nicholas Goldsmith: So in your proposal, you should say how you plan to assemble one as well as the structure and roles of the Advisory Board. But you should not uh not, uh name, who will be on that advisory. What you can do is lay out the process for how you might pick these people, but you should not say who they are, and you should definitely not approach members of the potential Advisory Board during this time. So this is for helping manage conflicts,

138

00:28:43.970 --> 00:28:57.299

Nicholas Goldsmith: so you can say the structure, the roles, how you will build that advisory board. But don't approach people about it, and Don't say who you will put on that advisory board in the proposal.

139

00:28:58.430 --> 00:29:06.250

Nicholas Goldsmith: So with that we'll open it up for your questions. It looks like some of them have been getting answered in real time.

140

00:29:09.410 --> 00:29:12.000 Nicholas Goldsmith: Thank you so much for listening.

141

00:29:12.870 --> 00:29:17.800

Deepankar Medhi: Great. Thank you, Nicolas. While we were we started.

142

00:29:18.650 --> 00:29:36.499

Deepankar Medhi: Ah, the Webinar, my colleague, Tanya Korski, also joined in doing so. We are here to answer your question. We did answer a few questions already, but i'm going to repeat a couple of the questions from before, just to kind of give you a little bit more context to it.

00:29:36.510 --> 00:29:47.840

Deepankar Medhi: Um! Ah! Could you be more explicit about what constitutes size, research, agenda? So maybe do you want to elaborate on that? You already posted something.

144

00:29:50.860 --> 00:29:59.310

Deepankar Medhi: I'm sorry I was looking at a different question. Which one did. You read? What constituents size, research, agenda, topics?

145

00:30:07.800 --> 00:30:13.539

Mimi: Think about what it is you want to develop what you need, and who else would be using it?

146

00:30:13.550 --> 00:30:34.369

Mimi: And then look at the topics that are in the solicitations there. Some solicitations have highlighted areas. So look at those highlighted areas and see where your your idea fits or submit a one-pager to Deep or I or Nicholas or Jason or Tanya, or as you

147

00:30:34.380 --> 00:30:37.210 Mimi: um A, and we'll get back to you on it.

148

00:30:38.570 --> 00:30:42.290 Deepankar Medhi: Great. Thank you. There's another question on.

149

00:30:42.300 --> 00:30:51.959

Deepankar Medhi: Is there any restriction for Api to apply for a new planning, c. Grant, if they already have existing planning, medium Grant.

150

00:30:52.560 --> 00:30:55.180 Deepankar Medhi: Okay, anyone they want to take that.

151 00:30:57.270 --> 00:31:03.580 Mimi: So I know that in the past solicitation. We didn't. We eliminated the

152

00:31:03.590 --> 00:31:17.290

Deepankar Medhi: see from from counting against other other parts of of ccri that they had so; but I wasn't quite certain that it was the same on this one. So if you could clarify,

153

00:31:17.820 --> 00:31:29.670

Deepankar Medhi: Yeah. So what I think is that if you have an existing one, obviously my general thought would be that if you already are doing a planning right now and then, you requesting another planning is like,

154

00:31:29.680 --> 00:31:38.549

Deepankar Medhi: What are you trying to exactly do? Okay. If it is, you have a medium or a grant, but it's a completely new area you're planning,

155

00:31:38.560 --> 00:31:51.640

Deepankar Medhi: and that would be, maybe assuming you at the time you can show that you have the time. While still managing a grand project infrastructure. We don't put a restrict on that. There.

156

00:31:51.650 --> 00:31:59.320

Deepankar Medhi: So that's what it is so. Now let me go to the new open question. We'll insert it.

157

00:31:59.360 --> 00:32:08.649 Deepankar Medhi: Does developing an open system to analyze social network, be a fit for it. It seems to fit the goal of a Ci

158

00:32:09.120 --> 00:32:12.289 Deepankar Medhi: uh tanya. Do you want to answer that question,

159

00:32:12.300 --> 00:32:13.590 Deepankar Medhi: and all our

160

00:32:16.010 --> 00:32:28.589

Deepankar Medhi: um? Can you repeat it, please? It's it's in the chat. Yeah, yeah, it does. Developing an open system to analyze social networks. Can it fit into the new track that after a quick rated? That's the question. 161 00:32:30.670 --> 00:32:33.200 Tatiana Korelsky: So it's in the chat, Right?

162

00:32:33.210 --> 00:32:36.609 Deepankar Medhi: Yeah, it's in the chat. It's hard to probably find it.

163 00:32:36.620 --> 00:32:37.660 Deepankar Medhi: Ah,

164

00:32:38.790 --> 00:32:45.190 Deepankar Medhi: so that's one, too, that you probably would need to provide more information to Tanya and your one page on it.

165

00:32:45.200 --> 00:32:53.509 Deepankar Medhi: Yeah, I think that the in general, when in doubt, the best thing to do is to send us a one-pager,

166

00:32:53.590 --> 00:33:06.080

Tatiana Korelsky: by all means, you know, because then we can just give you really a focused response. And please send us just it in written, because if you just call it's sometimes very difficult

167

00:33:06.090 --> 00:33:28.390

Tatiana Korelsky: to to react in real time and for you to explain in real time exactly what you want to do so much, much better works perfectly. We send us a uh one pager we routed between us, depending on what what area um of um of research. The infrastructure is to

168

00:33:28.400 --> 00:33:32.649 Tatiana Korelsky: support, and then you will get a focused focus response.

169 00:33:33.200 --> 00:33:35.139 Tatiana Korelsky: No, I wasn't yet.

170

00:33:35.150 --> 00:33:43.300

Tatiana Korelsky: I'm sorry I thought you're finished on, you know, go ahead. I didn't mean No, I just wanted to say that. But in when you send us a one-pager,

171

00:33:43.450 --> 00:33:46.170 Tatiana Korelsky: since this is a community

172

00:33:46.500 --> 00:33:58.959

Tatiana Korelsky: infrastructure, see, the first see is for community. Please remember that. So even in one pager you need to explain the community need for

173

00:33:59.000 --> 00:34:12.090

Tatiana Korelsky: the infrastructure that you are trying to see where where it belongs, no matter where it belongs. Community input is absolutely crucial even for planning grants. We need to make sure one,

174

00:34:12.100 --> 00:34:24.090

Tatiana Korelsky: but it's not just your idea. I mean. Your idea is, of course, very nice, but it should be supported by by the community for us to invest the money from this program. Okay, no one.

175

00:34:24.100 --> 00:34:26.789 Mimi: Go find, hire Myro's catechism, the

176

00:34:27.010 --> 00:34:40.570

Deepankar Medhi: and and if and one page answer all those points who's going to use it, Why is it important? You know? How are you going to do it? Very high level in the one pager, if you can? If you check off all those

177

00:34:40.580 --> 00:34:51.760

Mimi: all those questions that he says need to be answered in both one pager. And then in detail in the proposal, you've probably got a pretty well scoped idea.

178 00:34:52.699 --> 00:35:06.219 Deepankar Medhi: Let me add one more thing to it. Remember, it is an infrastructure program. This is designed, or possibly to complement the core program code program. You are going to do research. Maybe some of your research may end up,

179

00:35:06.230 --> 00:35:25.110

Deepankar Medhi: and realizing that it needs to have some component that can become an infrastructure for next set of big research. That's where you want to think about. Keep that angle in mind. And so that that allows new research to be conducted with this sort of

180 00:35:25.120 --> 00:35:26.990 Deepankar Medhi: Ah, next sorry. Go ahead.

181

00:35:27.000 --> 00:35:34.789

Tatiana Korelsky: And this is there. I just wanted to to add, like, for instance, in our area, in natural language, processing or speech processing.

182

00:35:34.800 --> 00:35:43.370 Tatiana Korelsky: Often in the research proposal a small data set is being created, and then the

183

00:35:43.710 --> 00:35:58.029

Tatiana Korelsky: by the time they get to like the third year. They realize that it's this dataset could be of interest to, not just this particular project, but also to the community. Then they have already this, you know. Ah

184

00:35:58.040 --> 00:36:15.299

Tatiana Korelsky: prototype which they build in the in the research, and then it's it's, you know, like Very would be very obvious how to write a Cci right proposal. If there is community interest in this new dataset,

185

00:36:16.300 --> 00:36:33.050

Deepankar Medhi: let me add one more thing to it. This is also where exactly an example of what Tamiya said. The deaf track comes sometimes. You are doing it some prototype, but it's not quite ready for the community. That's when you could use the new def track to say, Hey, I need to clean out a little bit more, to make it

186 00:36:33.060 --> 00:36:38.350 Deepankar Medhi: become bigger team to come back as a medium category or a grand category later on

187

00:36:38.660 --> 00:36:47.209 Deepankar Medhi: other questions. So no Community Advisory Board for Medium enhancement category. I think that's correct. Right?

188

00:36:48.070 --> 00:36:51.060 Deepankar Medhi: I don't think that's just needed for announcement Right

189

00:36:51.650 --> 00:36:55.440 Deepankar Medhi: uh. Am I right? Maybe I'm blanking out right now?

190

00:36:55.450 --> 00:36:58.149 Mimi: Um, I think that's what we decided. Yes,

191

00:36:58.160 --> 00:37:06.959

Deepankar Medhi: I'd have to go back and check, and and you should go back every you know. If that's your question, go back to the solicitation and and reread it.

192

00:37:07.710 --> 00:37:23.900

Deepankar Medhi: The next question is, do the letter of collaboration need to follow the specific format that Nsf typically requires. And if so, how could I specify the nature of collaboration? If this collaboration varies from one collaborator to another.

193

00:37:24.940 --> 00:37:32.570 Mimi: So that's the deep. That's the one that says, You know we're going to do what they say in the proposal. We're going to do

194 00:37:32.700 --> 00:37:33.819 Deepankar Medhi: right,

195

00:37:33.910 --> 00:37:44.640

Mimi: and so we at one time we had taken that out of the solicitation, and I i'd have to pull the solicitation up to see if we put it back in or not. Um, you may remember.

196 00:37:46.040 --> 00:37:47.120 Deepankar Medhi: Um,

197

00:37:47.820 --> 00:37:59.519

Deepankar Medhi: let me go. Look, they'll go answer some other questions. And I, you guys, have to understand that we write that amongst us we probably write about ten solicitations a year,

198

00:37:59.600 --> 00:38:02.809 Mimi: and it's hard to remember one from the next.

199

00:38:02.820 --> 00:38:09.910

Deepankar Medhi: Okay, The next question is, can the description of the size, resource community mentioned specific researcher and university?

200

00:38:09.950 --> 00:38:27.949

Deepankar Medhi: The description you want to mention? Ah, potential researcher, We do request you There's no restricts on it. Would you request it to not specifically least researchers, because we sometimes invite a panelists, and suddenly they realize their name is their,

201

00:38:27.960 --> 00:38:43.049

Deepankar Medhi: and there is a become a conflict to review the proposal, and that person was not contacted by the Api. And so that's why they did not know about it. That's where. If you could avoid it doesn't, we find what it realistic. If there we talk to,

202

00:38:43.060 --> 00:39:01.749

Deepankar Medhi: you know, something like ten research or forty researchers, and different, you know. Institutional institution is okay, listing it. But There' no restriction that way but that, you know, be honest about what you did, and provide that way in the solicitation.

203

00:39:01.760 --> 00:39:15.390

Tatiana Korelsky: Yeah. And also in you need to understand that it's the the infrastructure should support new research. So So that's why it's very important that you describe

204

00:39:15.400 --> 00:39:31.639

Tatiana Korelsky: in your proposals, and even in our one pager, What exactly what which new area of research in this or that discipline, size, discipline, it's this infrastructure is going to support because we're looking into the future.

205

00:39:31.650 --> 00:39:46.100

Tatiana Korelsky: We're looking. You can do enhancements for whatever was created before. If the research in this area is still active, and that's why enhancements are provided.

206

00:40:08.740 --> 00:40:14.900 Tatiana Korelsky: Addition that a request should come from the community if it's an Enforcement proposal.

207

00:40:16.180 --> 00:40:43.700

Deepankar Medhi: And so and you know. So I want to add a couple of things going back to the previous question instead of specific researcher. You can side there work. That's definitely true, and I I would recommend that strongly recommend rather that you create one section your proposal that said, These are the different research use cases of this infrastructure that gives such a clear view to the one

208

00:40:43.710 --> 00:40:55.669

Deepankar Medhi: to the panelists that Oh, Ok, and as well as these are the new things that will be done with this infrastructure. So keep that in mind, Amimi. Let's go ahead.

209

00:40:55.680 --> 00:41:09.990

Mimi: So it says here. Letters of collaboration should be limited to stating the intent to collaborate and the nature of the collaboration, and should not contain endorsements or evaluation of the proposed project.

210

00:41:10.000 --> 00:41:18.139 Mimi: Um, So they you can add a little bit of real estate to the letters of collaboration. Um,

211 00:41:18.940 --> 00:41:20.339 Mimi: wait a minute now.

212 00:41:24.120 --> 00:41:35.890 Mimi: Yeah, so. And it says, Note that letters of collaboration should have the collaboration details, and should not simply contain only the collaboration letter template found in the Pfpg: So Yes,

213

00:41:35.900 --> 00:41:47.390

Deepankar Medhi: yeah, that's what I i'm glad you checked. That's what I thought. I couldn't remember often when I was trying to answer the question. So I've got it up in case more questions come out.

214

00:41:47.400 --> 00:42:02.390

Deepankar Medhi: Okay, awesome. Ah, the next question I want to propose infrastructure that will help deploy science research to to new users in the sciences when talking about the community. Should I focus on the Size Community researcher

215

00:42:02.400 --> 00:42:15.830

Deepankar Medhi: building those two order users. Ah, very, very good question, I forgot to answer. We have another program called Cssi Community for infrastructure for scientific.

216

00:42:15.990 --> 00:42:17.890 Deepankar Medhi: I always forget

217

00:42:17.900 --> 00:42:31.170

Deepankar Medhi: that program allows you to develop tools software for the other sciences. Please use that program to do it. I will try to quickly look it up. Post that link for for your benefit.

218

00:42:32.110 --> 00:42:41.279

Deepankar Medhi: Besides the dollar amount. What are the major difference when you grand and mid with scale one

219

00:42:42.800 --> 00:43:00.699

Deepankar Medhi: bit scale one. Ah! And the important difference is that it doesn't have to be limited to just one directorate or science use cases. It could be multiple directors Cross director. That's a very important thing to keep in mind, and that has some benefit in that itself. Um!

220 00:43:00.710 --> 00:43:19.900 Deepankar Medhi: And of course, the community, the alerting of the more broad community buying is needed, and it's hard. Part, I want to say, is the mid scale one requires that it is of national importance. So you have to identify. Why, it is a national importance or a national priority. That's where it differs from my grand category

221

00:43:20.130 --> 00:43:28.890

Deepankar Medhi: so fit with iis I human-centered computing not aci sorry I don't know what the question is.

222 00:43:29.120 --> 00:43:30.760 Mimi: I don't either.

223

00:43:30.900 --> 00:43:34.029 Deepankar Medhi: What is the difference between planning and dev?

224

00:43:34.040 --> 00:43:56.230

Deepankar Medhi: It seems that both are exploratory in nature, but they have requires personal developer. The planning is more meeting and workshop that you are trying to do. That's for a very small amount of money. Then you are going to maybe organize some webinars to see, to know the who the community is going to be. Ah, that serves a different purpose from just having them

225

00:43:56.520 --> 00:44:13.230

Mimi: so. And planning is where you identify your community and you get community input so that when you go into the developmental part of of producing this infrastructure. You actually, you know, you have input, from the community about what your needs are

226

00:44:13.240 --> 00:44:17.509 Mimi: rather than you deciding it and trying to push it down from the top,

227

00:44:21.130 --> 00:44:35.740

Deepankar Medhi: and this also um, I should add something. What Kanye said earlier about projects in the is ah director at when they said they are doing a prototyping and stuff like that. It's not quite shovel ready for the community that

228 00:44:36.050 --> 00:44:40.689 Deepankar Medhi: can we, you know, need to do that. Their track can be used for that purpose as well.

229

00:44:40.700 --> 00:44:56.640

Deepankar Medhi: Yeah, uh. The next question is that there has been a major cost increase, as the institution confirmed to the mandate of providing a living wage for graduate student who's talk. How well ns have accommodate for that. Well, I mean, that's a tough question.

230

00:44:57.470 --> 00:45:25.299

Deepankar Medhi: We don't know the answer to that. And But if you look at within this program, you can say that, you know, maybe because of the but it doesn't fit into a a medium category. Then you will submit. At least it allows you to submit as a Grant capital. But remember, gan category doesn't mean you have to submit a five million dollars. You can do a two point, five million dollar project because you cannot fit into two million dollars. No, please do that. That's okay. And we know how to calibrate.

231

00:45:25.310 --> 00:45:27.889 Deepankar Medhi: Based on the size of the project. We, even

232

00:45:27.900 --> 00:45:48.990

Deepankar Medhi: as a medium, has, for example, lower bonds, seven fifty thousand. We have funded several program at seven fifty thousand, and panelists know that that this is not a two million dollar project, and how to calibrate in terms of generating and uh, in terms of evaluating that part of it. So similarly, you may just go over two million, maybe two point two, some eight hundred grand.

233

00:45:49.000 --> 00:45:53.790

Deepankar Medhi: That's Okay, so at least we do allow it. Obviously, Brand is five million.

234

00:45:53.800 --> 00:46:03.770

Deepankar Medhi: I'm not too worried about the cost increase for the grand category based on our experience of getting big project and stuff like that so far.

235

00:46:03.930 --> 00:46:14.849

Deepankar Medhi: So ask for what you need, and be expected to be held accountable to spend, as you say as you propose to spin.

00:46:19.310 --> 00:46:22.259 Mimi: That's not doing the community any favors.

237

00:46:24.340 --> 00:46:30.280 Deepankar Medhi: Thank you. And somebody clarified what Css: I stands for it. Cyber infrastructure for

238

00:46:30.290 --> 00:46:49.169

Deepankar Medhi: sustained scientific innovation, Nicholas. Maybe next year we should add a slide on that to our deck to say it. Hey, if you are going for the broader science, please apply under that program, and that's run from office of advanced cyber infrastructure and done for the entire. Ah,

239

00:46:49.180 --> 00:47:06.260

Deepankar Medhi: erez agmoni foundation different directorate. I do know that Css: I get proposal from a lot of science researchers who are doing some software development. For example, they do a numerical software. Package that's used by physics researchers, for example, and those are funded for that one hundred and fifty.

240

00:47:13.940 --> 00:47:17.390 Deepankar Medhi: I'm sure what it is referring to, I guess so.

241 00:47:17.400 --> 00:47:20.560 Mimi: I think it's It's how how many,

242 00:47:20.890 --> 00:47:23.449 Mimi: how many um planning,

243

00:47:23.530 --> 00:47:30.539 Mimi: regular planning and developments, and how many submissions. And I think it's just the planning. See,

244 00:47:36.190 --> 00:47:39.139 Mimi: the the limits are in the solicitation.

236

00:47:41.920 --> 00:47:51.199

Deepankar Medhi: Let's see what else we got here, Nicholas, do you want to take any other question from your Read it? Maybe i'm scrolling and missing it?

246

00:47:54.990 --> 00:48:08.009

Nicholas Goldsmith: And these questions will be, i'm sorry these questions will stay. We're going to make them part of the the Fa. Cues. And so what doesn't get answered? Here we'll get answered before we post them.

247

00:48:09.150 --> 00:48:15.050 Deepankar Medhi: Correct. That will be a separate posting. And there was a question earlier about if the recording and the

248

00:48:15.060 --> 00:48:32.909

Deepankar Medhi: um a presentation for this Webinar going to be available. It is, and it is going to be available in the same registration page that you use to, You know, register for this washer, and it takes us about a couple of weeks to get that ready. So um, you know. So just be patient and wait for a couple of

249

00:48:32.920 --> 00:48:34.390 Deepankar Medhi: this on Nicholas. Please go ahead. And

250

00:48:34.400 --> 00:48:40.859

Nicholas Goldsmith: yeah. So here we have a question. If, like letters of collaboration need to cover both academia and industry.

251

00:48:50.130 --> 00:48:54.300 Tatiana Korelsky: I also would like to to respond to the previous question

252

00:48:54.310 --> 00:49:02.640

Tatiana Korelsky: whether, in addition to the size, research, agenda topics, the project also includes extensive valuable research. Well,

253

00:49:02.690 --> 00:49:05.689 Tatiana Korelsky: you can see if you can see it as broader impact. 254 00:49:05.700 --> 00:49:06.680 Tatiana Korelsky: Yes,

255

00:49:06.750 --> 00:49:14.790 Tatiana Korelsky: if it's specifically for it, you say extensive value. If it's specifically for research topics outside

256

00:49:14.850 --> 00:49:28.259

Tatiana Korelsky: size, then no, rather. But if it's, for instance, very often the Nlp. Like in my area, the Nlp. Infrastructure has a very good impact also for linguistics.

257

00:49:28.270 --> 00:49:35.889 Tatiana Korelsky: So that's fine. That's that's a plus that. As a broader impact, it also could could be useful in other disciplines. But

258 00:49:35.900 --> 00:49:37.869 as a broader impact,

259

00:49:46.650 --> 00:49:51.650 Nicholas Goldsmith: so this person wants to know how many pis would be reasonable for a grand submission.

260

00:49:54.900 --> 00:50:11.439

Deepankar Medhi: Um good question. We don't have restrictions, but if you have the single pi. I'll be a little bit worried on a grand project. So now it's a between three to five, because cover pays limits to maximum five, anyway, so.

261

00:50:11.450 --> 00:50:18.290 Deepankar Medhi: But you could that doesn't stop you from getting some senior personnel? Obviously,

262

00:50:21.430 --> 00:50:30.579 Nicholas Goldsmith: So this one. The question is, we have an open source software infrastructure already with users from various disciplines

00:50:30.590 --> 00:50:48.109

Nicholas Goldsmith: mit ctl. And can we apply for funding from this Nsf program to invite contributors to participants from the broader size community? In addition to researchers from our own university, or should we already have these community contributions, our contributors and participants to be eligible to apply?

264

00:51:00.310 --> 00:51:10.580

Deepankar Medhi: I'm: sorry I was typing the answer in for another one. Let me send that one, and then i'll come back, and that's fine. Let me kind of i'm reading the question again right now.

265

00:51:11.060 --> 00:51:17.710

Deepankar Medhi: So so I guess the question first question is, if the various discipline is within size,

266

00:51:17.720 --> 00:51:36.370

Deepankar Medhi: and then it will be okay to apply for it. But if it is to going beyond that, then Cssi, the program I mentioned would be a better one, depending on the proportion of what you're expecting from one versus another one.

267

00:51:36.380 --> 00:51:51.109

Deepankar Medhi: Ah! So then the next point. And this relates to one, Ah, Bruce, pop! Ah! As a guest doing a good job is that there is another program we have ah called Post Program Pathway to Open source ecosystem.

268

00:51:51.120 --> 00:52:01.490

Deepankar Medhi: And in fact, I am one of the program manager, and Mimi is another program manager that is, on that team of pose, and we have handled projects on that

269

00:52:01.500 --> 00:52:18.720

Deepankar Medhi: that you can request up to one point five million dollars. But pose by itself does not support development. Part of it. It supports the more building the ecosystem part of it for open source software that you may have. So you

270

00:52:18.730 --> 00:52:22.569

Deepankar Medhi: please understand that. Yeah, Yeah. Important distinction there.

00:52:23.300 --> 00:52:30.389

Nicholas Goldsmith: Here's another question about those limited submissions asking about institutional limits. There are not institutional limits.

272

00:52:30.400 --> 00:52:50.439

Nicholas Goldsmith: So the limits and the solicitation are to investigators. So you can be pi Copi or senior personnel on two that are uh exploratory, development, medium or grand. And then you can separately be pi Copi, or senior personnel on one that is planning.

273

00:52:58.380 --> 00:53:17.469

Deepankar Medhi: There's a question here. Do we have an example of previously funded similar project, and I actually posted earlier during the Webinar. You can find it A simple way to do it is that go to the Nsf. Award source page. You know this is the trick you put one of our last name,

274

00:53:17.480 --> 00:53:32.560

Deepankar Medhi: Mini, or you know, depending on your topical or Tanya or me. And then, along with the second word you want to put like ccri, because that was the old name, and then search is going to spit out this list of projects

275

00:53:32.570 --> 00:53:50.530

Deepankar Medhi: The You know that what it is. As I said, Taniya handles is project. So if you're is oriented, you can do Daniel's chordesky the space cri and search. You're going to get answers on what projects under her name being funded, and it's not going to be all of them. But you at least get some idea about what it is.

276

00:53:50.540 --> 00:53:53.890 Mimi: Be If they put in seven, three, five, nine,

277

00:53:53.900 --> 00:54:04.290

Deepankar Medhi: they'll get Yes, correct everything. Yeah, pretty much. Everything that's been funded in infrastructure back to the beginning of the of the original program

278

00:54:04.300 --> 00:54:05.889 Deepankar Medhi: beginning of life. You mean, sir, 279 00:54:05.900 --> 00:54:07.470 Mimi: Okay,

280 00:54:07.530 --> 00:54:10.510 It's: Uh: yeah, uh,

281 00:54:10.760 --> 00:54:13.250 Deepankar Medhi: okay. So we answer that.

282

00:54:13.340 --> 00:54:20.120

Nicholas Goldsmith: So we had a question asking how to demonstrate that needs come from the community rather than just the pi.

283

00:54:21.530 --> 00:54:36.619

Tatiana Korelsky: Well, they should be. They should be um collaboration letters from the community, and also they should be just your your own. But by the time you're writing a proposal you already should have gotten out

284

00:54:36.630 --> 00:54:53.070

Tatiana Korelsky: to to the community and collected the input because you go to the community and you describe what you want to do, and nobody needs it. So now, you know, like It's just so. So you really need to take um do some work

285

00:54:53.110 --> 00:55:06.019

Tatiana Korelsky: to collect opinions from from members of your community to show the need, and as we say you can, you don't necessarily.

286

00:55:06.030 --> 00:55:22.590

Tatiana Korelsky: Um. Ah, use the names only in the collaboration letters. But you can describe, as we said several times during this Webinar. You need to describe new research that it's going to be supported by the infrastructure.

287

00:55:22.600 --> 00:55:27.369 Tatiana Korelsky: So, and that's how you use. Justify the need

00:55:31.820 --> 00:55:35.739 Deepankar Medhi: very close. That's what let's see.

289

00:55:39.880 --> 00:55:58.600

Deepankar Medhi: Yes, it's again again about color. It's five letters of collaboration is just one. It's definitely a evidence. It's definitely elegance of interest from the community.

290

00:55:58.610 --> 00:56:08.640

Tatiana Korelsky: But you also need to describe, as I already said, and Deep already said, that they should be description of new areas of research

291

00:56:08.650 --> 00:56:22.889

Tatiana Korelsky: that is going to be supported by the community. And then you describe that there is a part of the community that would benefit from this infrastructure to do the to do the new research

292

00:56:25.410 --> 00:56:31.030

Tatiana Korelsky: you. We really advise you to get a planning grant if you're starting from Scratch,

293

00:56:31.070 --> 00:56:59.510

Tatiana Korelsky: because then you can do you get your fifth or whatever. We give you, one hundred and fifty K. One hundred and fifty thousand dollars, and you can then run a workshop. We can do virtual meetings. You can go to the conference and have a um, you know, meeting at the conference. So it's you you have, and you have. You can have your graduate students helping you, so you can do quite a lot on on during a year, and then you will really be very, very prepared

294

00:56:59.520 --> 00:57:01.600 Tatiana Korelsky: for your full proposal.

295

00:57:02.860 --> 00:57:09.610 Deepankar Medhi: But Tanya makes a great point. If you are not sure about the community, planning is the right track to get started, you

296

00:57:09.620 --> 00:57:28.980

Deepankar Medhi: and you know it has a short fuse. Year and a half you get that work done and submit under. Then you come back, you know, so it is not you invis a community is the big word in that Cc: Sorry. But program, you know that's very important. So you know. So you need to address the property.

297

00:57:29.710 --> 00:57:33.790 Deepankar Medhi: Yeah, we are now at two hundred and fifty-eight.

298 00:57:33.800 --> 00:57:37.189 Almost done it. I don't know if I see any other question.

299

00:57:37.200 --> 00:57:42.069 Mimi: So there's one about the virtual organization deep. You might have just enough time to.

300

00:57:43.340 --> 00:57:45.690 Deepankar Medhi: Uh maybe I missed it. Um.

301 00:57:45.700 --> 00:57:46.970 Deepankar Medhi: And so yeah,

302

00:57:46.980 --> 00:58:01.479

Deepankar Medhi: let's say, what about the start? Virtual organization and community. P. I. Meeting in the solicitation we are in the process of actually setting up a new virtual organization That's for everybody to know about existing

303

00:58:01.490 --> 00:58:21.080

Deepankar Medhi: easier way to find out. You know what the existing project we have funded, what the capabilities are of those ah infrastructure, so that the water community gets to know, you know this is sort of an answering one of the earlier question about Oh, can I find a representative example? That will be exactly one place for you to do

304

00:58:21.090 --> 00:58:25.499

Deepankar Medhi: find us solving. It will be obviously a portal, but it will do more than that.

305

00:58:26.100 --> 00:58:40.599

Deepankar Medhi: Sars community behind meeting. We are. If you get an award. There is going to be a meeting. In fact, we are planning A. P. I. Meeting on November the sixteenth, seventh, in Salt Lake City for

306

00:58:40.610 --> 00:58:48.499

Deepankar Medhi: existing of Ccri. P. Is actually that it has just been advised.

307

00:58:48.510 --> 00:59:06.640

Deepankar Medhi: So um and uh, very soon. By the way, we're we're also planning to have a uh size directorate resource information Page. You might look out for that. We'll announce it once you to study through the or or it is News Letter as well as the different clusters and divisions.

308

00:59:09.090 --> 00:59:12.169 Tatiana Korelsky: There is a question about the Coordinator.

309

00:59:12.180 --> 00:59:24.229

Tatiana Korelsky: This I answered it off offline. But okay, I need to go to another another meeting. So thank you very, very much. Everybody, for good questions.

310

00:59:24.280 --> 00:59:30.339 Tatiana Korelsky: We are just hopefully provided you a useful information.

311 00:59:30.590 --> 00:59:31.689 Tatiana Korelsky: Thank you.

312

00:59:31.700 --> 00:59:34.719 Deepankar Medhi: Thank you very much. Thanks for joining again. Bye, bye,