

# Cyber-Physical Systems Research Challenges

**Jeannette M. Wing**

Assistant Director  
Computer and Information Science and Engineering Directorate  
National Science Foundation  
and  
President's Professor of Computer Science  
Carnegie Mellon University

National Workshop on High-Confidence Automotive Cyber-Physical Systems  
Troy, MI  
3 April 2008

# Cars Drive Themselves



Credit: PaulStamatiou.com

# Cars are Networks of Computers

A BMW is "now actually a network of computers"

- [R. Achatz, Siemens, Economist Oct 11, 2007]

# Cars are Nodes in a Network

“As smart as in-car navigation devices are, they could be smarter. They could talk to each other via the Internet and share information on how fast traffic is moving on the roads they have just traveled. And they could also use the Internet to let you search for places of interest, get map updates, or even receive new destinations wirelessly.”

Credit: Dash Navigation, Inc.

Wall Street Journal, **March 27, 2008**

# Cars are Nodes in a Network

“As smart as in-car navigation devices are, they could be smarter. They could talk to each other and share information on the road they could search for updates, or wirelessly.”




Credit: Dash Navigation, Inc.

**Dash Express, \$400.**

Wall Street Journal, **March 27, 2008**

# Cars Are Sensors and Actuators

- Anti-locking braking
- Adaptive cruise control
- Automatic airbags
- Automatic collision notification
- Blind spot reduction
- Collision sensing bumpers
- Headlight glare reduction
- Pedestrian sensors
- Rearward visibility enhancement
- ...



**Warning: Driver Attention**

# Zero Traffic Deaths

- Lampson's Grand Challenge:

Reduce highway traffic deaths to zero.

- Butler Lampson, *Getting Computers to Understand*, Microsoft, *J. ACM* 50, 1 (Jan. 2003), pp 70-72.

# U.S Broader Research Agenda and Priorities


President's  
Council of  
Advisors on  
Science and  
Technology

Networking and  
Information  
Technology  
Research and  
Development


- **PCAST/NITRD report [August 2007]**
  - Dan Reed and George Scalise
  - 8 priority areas listed, with the recommendation that the first 4 get disproportionately larger funding increases.
- **#1 Priority: Cyber-Physical Systems**
  - Our lives depend on them.




# This is Not Science Fiction




# This is Not Science Fiction




# This is Not Science Fiction




# This is Not Science Fiction



# This is Not Science Fiction




# This is Not Science Fiction



# This is Not Science Fiction

soldier in smart tank


battlefield with hidden mines



# Research Challenges



# Drivers of Computing



# Users and Society

- Expectations: 24/7 availability, 100% reliability, 100% connectivity, instantaneous response, store anything and everything forever, ...
- Classes: young to old, able and disabled, rich and poor, literate and illiterate, ...
- Numbers: individual → cliques → acquaintances → social networks → cultures → populations

Cyber-Physical Systems will be everywhere, used by everyone, for everything

# Societal Challenge

- How can we provide people and society with cyber-physical systems they can bet their lives on?



**Trustworthy:**  
reliable, secure,  
privacy-  
preserving,  
usable

# Important Trends in Systems

- Nature of tomorrow's systems
  - Dynamic, ever-changing, 24/7 reliability
  - Self-\* (aware, diagnosing, healing, repairing, managing)
- Two important classes converging
  - Embedded and real-time
    - Networked architecture, e.g., sensor nets (see below)
    - Safety-critical apps, e.g., medical, automotive, aero&astro
  - Pervasive and mobile
    - Focus on sensors and actuators, not just the devices and communication links
    - Prevalence of cell phones, iPods, RFIDs, ...



Credit: Wikimedia



Credit: Apple



Credit: Missouri Department of Transportation


# Technical Challenge

- (How) can we build systems that interface between the cyber world and the physical world? Ideally, with **predictable**, if not **adaptable** behavior.
- Why this is hard:
  - We cannot easily draw the boundaries.
  - Boundaries are always changing.
  - There are limits to digitizing the continuous world by abstractions.
  - Complex systems are unpredictable.


# Characteristics of System Complexity "Tipping Point"

## Tipping points

- Stampeding in a moving crowd
- Collapse of economic markets
- "Mac for the Masses" - P. Nixon



Credit: Paul Nixon



## Emergent phenomena

- Evolution of new traits
- Development of cognition, e.g., language, vision, music
- "Aha" moments in cognition

# Predictable Behavior

- *Predictable* is ideal

A complicated system is a system with lots of parts and whose behavior as a whole can be entirely understood by reducing it to its parts.



Credit: Wikimedia

A Car and Driver



Credit: Wikimedia

A Car

A complex system is a system with lots of parts that when put together has *emergent* behavior.

# Systems Research Challenges

- We need systems that are compositional, scalable, and evolvable.
  - Big and small components
  - One component to billions of components
  - New and old technology co-exist, e.g., from standard cars to autonomous cars, all on smarter and smarter highways
- We need ways to measure and certify the “performance” of CPS.
  - Time and space, but multiple degrees of resolution
  - New metrics, e.g., energy usage
  - New properties, e.g., security, privacy-preserving
- We need new engineering processes for developing, maintaining, and monitoring CPS.
  - Traditional ones won't work.



# Software, the Great Enabler

- Good: You can do anything in software!
- Bad: You can do anything in software!


▶ It's the **software** that effects system complexity.

# Software Research Challenges

- We need new notions of "correctness".
  - Factor in context of use, unpredictable environment, emergent properties, dynamism
  - What are the desired properties of and metrics for both software (e.g., weak compositionality) and systems (e.g., power)?
- We need new formal models and logics for reasoning about cyber-physical systems.
  - E.g., hybrid automata, probabilistic real-time temporal logic
  - For verification, simulation, prediction
- We need new verification tools usable by domain engineers.
  - Push-button, lightweight
  - Integrated with rest of system development process


# Research Vision

- To provide automotive engineers with lightweight “push-button” tools, each checking a specific application-specific property.




# Fundamental **Scientific** Challenges

- Co-existence of Booleans and Reals
  - Discrete systems in a continuous world



- Reasoning about uncertainty
  - Human, Mother Nature, the Adversary

- Understanding complex systems
  - Emergent behavior, tipping points, ...
  - Chaos theory, randomness, ...



# Communities Needed to Meet These Challenges

# Disciplines and Sectors

- Academic Disciplines
  - Civil engineering
  - Control systems
  - Electrical engineering
  - Embedded systems
  - Formal methods
  - Human-computer interaction
  - Hybrid systems
  - Mathematics
  - Mechanical engineering
  - Probability and statistics
  - Real-time systems
  - Robotics
  - Security and privacy
  - Software engineering
  - Systems engineering
  - Usability
  - ...
- Industrial Sectors
  - Aeronautics
  - Automotive
  - Buildings
  - Consumer/Home
  - Energy
  - Finance
  - Medical
  - Telecommunications
  - ...

# Broader Implications

- Nature of research
  - Interdisciplinary
  - Collaborative across disciplines, between industry and academia
- Education: Workforce and training
  - Discrete and continuous mathematics
  - Software, hardware, device and systems engineering
  - Need major improvements in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) education in K-12

# Partnerships

- Theoreticians, experimentalists, domain experts
- Computer scientists, electrical and mechanical engineers
- Industry, Academia, Government
  - domain experts, domain problems
  - general solutions that work for specific problems





# New Models for Academia-Industry-Government Partnership

- For example: Google+IBM and NSF
  - Google+IBM providing software and services on large data cluster to academic community reached by NSF. Why?
    - NSF's broad reach: all US academic institutions, all sciences and engineering
    - NSF's merit review process and infrastructure
- Other companies welcome!
- Other models of engagement welcome!

# NSF's Interests in CPS

- Two directorates, CISE and ENG, working together
- Within CISE, across all three divisions
  - Foundations (CCF), systems (CNS), AI/appl'ns (IIS)
- Plans for a new FY09 initiative.
  - Please be on the lookout for our solicitation!
- Related foundation-wide initiative: Cyber-Enabled Discovery and Innovation (CDI)
  - Understanding Complex Systems

Thank you!

# Credits

- Copyrighted material used under Fair Use. If you are the copyright holder and believe your material has been used unfairly, or if you have any suggestions, feedback, or support, please contact: [jsoleil@nsf.gov](mailto:jsoleil@nsf.gov)
- Except where otherwise indicated, permission is granted to copy, distribute, and/or modify all images in this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation license, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation license" ([http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:GNU\\_Free\\_Documentation\\_License](http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:GNU_Free_Documentation_License))