



NSF's Broader Impacts Criteria

Susan Burkett and Sue Kemnitzer
National Science Foundation

Annual ASEE Conference
June 18, 2006

5



Caution

Most of the information presented in this workshop represents the opinions of the individual program offices and not an official NSF position.

2



Warning on Generalizations

- NSF has several programs supporting undergraduate education
 - Different requirements
 - Different slants
- Proposal improvement ideas apply to all
 - But in varying degrees
- Choose ideas based on
 - Program solicitation
 - Judgment

3



Overview of Workshops

Goal: Prepare you to write more competitive proposals

Three separate but related workshops

- Proposal strategies
- Broader impacts
- Project evaluation

4



Framework for the Workshop

- Learning situations involve prior knowledge
 - Some knowledge correct
 - Some knowledge incorrect (i. e., misconceptions)
- Learning is
 - Connecting new knowledge to prior knowledge
 - Correcting misconception
- Learning requires
 - Recalling prior knowledge – actively
 - Altering prior knowledge

6



Framework for the Workshop



Active-Cooperative Learning

- Learning activities must encourage learners to:
 - Recall prior knowledge -- actively, explicitly
 - Connect new concepts to existing ones
 - Challenge and alter misconception
- The think-share-report-learn (TSRL) process addresses these steps

7



Workshop Format

- “Working” Workshop
 - Short presentations (mini-lectures)
 - Group exercise
- Exercise Format
 - Think → Share → Report → Learn
 - (TSRL)
- Limited Time – May feel rushed
 - Intend to identify issues & suggest ideas
 - Get you started
 - No closure -- No “answers” – No “formulas”

8



Group Behavior

- Be positive, supportive, and cooperative
 - Limit critical or negative comments
- Be brief and concise
 - No lengthy comments
- Stay focused
 - Stay on the subject
- Take turns as recorder
 - Report for group not your own ideas

9



Workshop Format

- “Working” format
 - $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ of time in team activities
- Limited time to complete activities
 - Frequently feel you need more time
- Purpose: identify, consider & discuss ideas
 - Get you started
 - No “answers”
 - No “formulas”

10



Workshop Background NSF Review Criteria

- NSF proposals evaluated using two review criteria
 - Intellectual merit
 - Broader impacts
- Most proposals
 - Intellectual merit done fairly well
 - Broader impacts done poorly

11



Workshop Goal

- To increase the community’s ability to design projects that respond effectively to NSF’s broader impacts criterion

12



Workshop Background NSF Strategies

- **NSF proposals also evaluated relative to two principal strategies**
 - Integrating research and education
 - Integrating diversity into NSF programs, projects, and activities
- **Both reflected in the broader impacts criterion**

13



Workshop Objective

- **At the end of the workshop, participants should be able to**
 - List categories for broader impacts
 - List activities for each category
 - Evaluate a proposed broader impacts plan
 - Develop an effective broader impacts plan

14



Conceptual Framework for the Workshop – Constructivist Model

- **Learning situations involve prior knowledge**
 - Some knowledge correct
 - Some knowledge incorrect (i. e., misconceptions)
- **Learning is**
 - Connecting new knowledge to prior knowledge
 - Correcting misconception
- **Learning requires**
 - Recalling prior knowledge – actively
 - Altering prior knowledge

15



Constructivist Model and Active-Cooperative Learning

- **Learning activities must encourage learners to:**
 - Recall prior knowledge – actively, explicitly
 - Connect new concepts to existing ones
 - Challenge and alter misconceptions
- **The think-share-report-learn (TSRL) process addresses these steps**

16



Participation “Rules”

- **In small group discussion**
 - Be positive, supportive, and cooperative
 - Limit critical or negative comments
 - Be brief and concise in discussions
 - Avoid lengthy comments, stories or arguments
 - Stay focused
 - Get everyone involved
- **In reporting to large group**
 - Rotate reporters
 - Report group’s views not your own
 - Be brief and concise in discussions

17



Workshop Approach

Information in “Learn” Phase, represents-

- ✓ “official” NSF positions
- ✓ NSF suggestions
- ✓ program officers’ opinions

18



Broader Impacts Categories and Activities

19



Exercise -- Broader Impacts Categories

TASK:

- Identify the categories of activities responding to NSF broader impacts criterion
 - e, g., Increase participation of underrepresented groups

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

20



Statement of Broader Impacts Merit Review Criteria

- What are the broader impacts of the proposed activity?
 - How well does the activity advance *discovery and understanding while promoting teaching, training, and learning*?
 - How well does the proposed activity broaden the participation of *underrepresented groups* (e.g., gender, ethnicity, disability, geographic, etc.)?
 - To what extent will it enhance the *infrastructure for research and education, such as facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships*?



Statement of Broader Impacts Merit Review Criteria (cont'd)

- Will the results be *disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding*?
- What may be the *benefits of the proposed activity to society*?

22



"Relative Ease Quotient"

What, in your opinion, is the easiest activity to address in a typical proposal? What is the most difficult?

- Discovery and learning
- Broadening participation
- Infrastructure enhancement
- Dissemination
- Societal benefits

23



Exercise -- Dissemination Activities

TASK:

Identify activities that "broadly disseminate results to enhance scientific and technological understanding"

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

24



Dissemination -- NSF's Representative Activities I

- Partner with *museums, nature centers, science centers, and similar institutions* to develop exhibits in science, math, and engineering.
- *Involve the public or industry, where possible, in research and education activities.*
- Give science and engineering *presentations to the broader community* (e.g., at museums and libraries, on radio shows, and in other such venues).
- Make *data available* in a timely manner by means of databases, digital libraries, or other venues such as CD-ROMs

25



Dissemination -- NSF's Representative Activities II

- *Publish in diverse media* (e.g., non-technical literature, and websites, CD-ROMs, press kits) to reach broad audiences.
- Present research and education results in formats useful to *policy-makers, members of Congress, industry, and broad audiences.*
- *Participate in multi- and interdisciplinary conferences, workshops, and research activities.*
- *Integrate research with education activities in order to communicate in a broader context.*

26



Converting Activity to Impact I

- **Don't just list activities**
 - More is not better
 - Describe the *impact of activities*
- **Develop a strategy (a plan)**
- **Approach with same *detail* as intellectual content**

27



Converting Activity to Impact II

- **Develop a strategy (a plan)**
 - Make *coherent* and consistent with
 - Institution's mission and culture
 - PI's interest and experience
 - *Integrate with*
 - Project activities
 - Intellectual merit
 - **Include metrics and *evaluation***

28



Reviewing and Enhancing a Project's Broader Impacts

29



Exercise – Review Proposal's Broader Impacts

TASK:

- Write broader impacts section of a review
- Outline format

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

30



Sample Proposal

- **Real proposal**
 - Project Summary
 - Excerpts from Project Description
- **Assume**
 - CCLI/Phase 1
 - \$150k (total) for 2 years
 - Technical merit considered meritorious

31



Program Officers' Views – Review Comments I

- **Scope of activities**
 - Overall-very inclusive and good
 - Well done but “standard things”
 - Did not address the issue of quality
 - No clear-cut plan
 - Activities not justified by research base
- **Dissemination**
 - Limited to standard channels
 - Perfunctory

32



Program Officers' Views – Review Comments II

- **Industrial advisory committee a strength**
- **Collaboration with other higher ed institutions**
 - Institutions appear to be quite diverse but use of diversity not explicit
 - Interactions not clearly explained
 - Sends mixed message – raises questions about partnership effectiveness
- **High school outreach**
 - Real commitment not evident
 - Passive -- not proactive
 - High school counselors and teachers not involved

33



Program Officers' Views – Review Comments III

- **Modules are versatile**
- **Broader (societal) benefits**
 - Need for materials not well described
 - Value of the product not explained
 - Not clear who will benefit and how much
- **Assessment of broader impacts not addressed**

34



How would you rate this proposal?

- **Excellent-** 2 hands up
- **Very Good-** 1 hand up
- **Good-** 2 hands on head
- **Fair-** 1 hand on head
- **Poor-** forearms crossed

35



Exercise -- Enhancing Broader Impacts Effort

TASK:

Identify additional or enhanced broader impacts activities that will strengthen the project

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

36



NSF Program Officer's Suggestions -- Enhancing Broader Impacts Effort I

- **Make activities appropriate to project**
 - Establish a mentoring program for high school students
 - Use undergraduate students to interact with high school students
 - Connect to other projects if appropriate

37



NSF Program Officer's Suggestions -- Enhancing Broader Impacts Effort II

- **Utilize entire PI team in development process**
- **Take better advantage of institutional diversity (e.g., assessment of impacts of materials on diversity)**
- **Improve Dissemination**
 - Add faculty workshops
 - Prepare exhibit for local museum

38



REFLECTION

39



Exercise -- Characteristics of Broader Impacts Plans

TASK:

- Identify desirable features of a broader impacts plan or strategy
 - General aspects or characteristics

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

40



NSF Program Officer's Suggestions -- Characteristics of Broader Impacts Plan I

- **Include strategy to achieve impact**
 - Have a well-defined set of outcome objectives
 - Make results meaningful and valuable
 - Make consistent with technical project tasks
 - Have detailed tasks for implementation and evaluation (did it work & why?)
 - Have a well stated relationship to the audience or audiences

41



NSF Program Officer's Suggestions -- Characteristics of Broader Impacts Plan II

- **Don't use "tack on" evaluation and dissemination plans**
- **Investigate and discuss other broader impacts plans**
- **Include target group(s) in development**
- **Be creative!**

42



Exercise -- Reflection on Broader Impacts

TASK:

- Identify the most interesting, important, or surprising idea you encountered in the workshop

PROCESS:

- Think, share, report, learn

43



WRAP-UP

44



Summary-Broader Impacts I

- Use and build on NSF suggestions
 - List of *categories in solicitations*
 - *Representative activities on website*
 - Not a comprehensive checklist
 - Expand on these -- be creative
- Develop activities to show *impact*
- *Integrate and align* with other project activities

45



Summary-Broader Impacts II

- Help reviewers (and NSF program officers)
 - Provide sufficient *detail*
 - Include objectives, strategy, evaluation
 - Make broader impacts *obvious*
 - Easy to find
 - Easy to relate to NSF criterion

46



Summary-Broader Impacts III

- Make broader impacts *credible*
 - Realistic and believable
 - Include appropriate funds in budget
 - *Consistent* with
 - Project's scope and objectives
 - Institution's mission and culture
 - PI's interest and experience
- Assure *agreement* between Project Summary and Project Description

47



REFERENCES

Grant Proposal Guide

http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/gpg/nsf04_23/

Broader Impacts Activities

<http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/gpg/broaderimpacts.pdf>

48



**Thanks for your active
participation!**

Questions?